APPENDIX A Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015

					July 1 - August 31, 2	015
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response
				Oppose		
7/7/15	Jeffrey Donathan	unknown (zip=Wappape Ilo)	3 CSR 10-5.310: Resident Lifetime Conservation Partner Permit		I would like to see Missouri implement a fully encompassing Lifetime Conservation Permit. By that I mean one that covers all of my hunting and/or fishing for my lifetime, not just a small game and general fishing permit. I feel that if I can give my kids the gift of hunting and fishing then chances are they will continue into their adult years. At least they wouldn't have to bother with purchasing permits each year, just head out and pursue whatever is in season. I can see having waterfowl, trout stamps, etc. as a continuing and separate requirement since those are for specific programs.	Missouri's Lifetime permits are set up for annual small game and fishing permits. These permits have no tagging or telecheck requirements for harvested animals. However, deer and turkey permits require individual telecheck ID's for the animals harvested and these numbers change each year to allow for calculations on how many animals were harvested, the type of animal harvested (buck, doe, gobbler, hen, points), and the county of harvest. Adding these permits to the Lifetime permit would make this information difficult to collect and to verify legal harvest. Also, the price of the permits are based on the price of the annual permits with a breakeven point in years based on the age of the person purchasing the permit. For example: An individual age sixteen (16) to twenty-nine (29) pays four hundred dollars (\$400) for a Lifetime small game hunting permit. This includes small game ten dollars (\$10), migratory bird six dollars (\$6), and conservation order five dollars (\$5). That is a total of twenty-one dollars (\$21). The break even in years for this permit is nineteen (19) years. To add deer and turkey hunting privileges to this Lifetime permit we would need to adjust the price to include the deer and turkey permits. If we add firearm anydeer seventeen dollars (\$17), archery nineteen dollars (\$19), spring/fall firearm turkey seventeen dollars (\$17) and thirteen dollars (\$13). That would increase the total by sixty-six dollars (\$66) each year. If we multiple that over nineteen (19) years for the breakeven, we would need increase the price by at least one thousand two hundred fifty-four dollars (\$1,254). If adjusted as suggested, it's likely that the permits would only be attractive to individuals that are moving out of state to lock in their deer/turkey permits for when they return.

					Periodic Review Com	ments
					July 1 - August 31, 2	
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response
				Oppose		
7/15/15	Jeff Craig	unknown (zip=Liberty)	3 CSR 10- 7.455: Turkeys: Seasons, Methods, Limits	Oppose	an outdated, scientifically unproven relic and should be done away with. No state	the several years, including what a regulation change might look like (i.e., public land, private land, all land). The Department is currently conducting a research project to examine turkey population dynamics to help determine what effects an "all-day" season might have on the population.
	E 3	5 S				
7/17/15	Frank Luck	Mountain View	3 CSR 10- 7.450: Furbearers: Hunting Seasons, Methods	Support	electronic calls should be allowed at night with artificial lights for furbearers	The Department of Conservation currently allows the use of electronic calls at night in the hunting of furbearers. It also allows the use of artificial light when hunting furbearers with the use of a dog and such animals have been treed by a dog. However, using artificial light in the pursuit of furbearers in situations other than where an animal has already been treed by a dog is considered unfair chase by the hunter, and too advantageous to the hunter in pursuing furbearers. Additionally, unscrupulous hunters may use this as an arguement as to why they are spotlighting, when in fact they are attempting to poach deer. Not allowing spotlighting in general takes away the ability to make such claims.
7/17/15	Frank Luck	Mountain View	3 CSR 10- 7.455: Turkeys: Seasons, Methods, Limits	Support	electronoc call should be allowed	The Department of Conservation considers using a turkey call as part of the challenge and a skill necessary to be a successful turkey hunter. The use of elctronic calls is also considered part of the "fair-chase" argument of persuing certain wildlife, and in the case of turkey hunting, the Department considers the use of an electronic call an unfair advatage for the hunter.

Periodic Review	Comments
July 1 - August	31, 2015

July 1 - August 31, 2015								
Date	Name City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
			Oppose					
7/22/15	Jeff Faulkenberry Clinton	3 CSR 10- 6.510: Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish	Oppose	The slot limit on blue cats on Lake of the Ozarks and Truman is a redundant and unneeded law. Besides loss of revenue the science behind the reg is bogus	For a number of years, Department of Conservation staff had been concerned about potential overharvest of blue catfish in Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, especially larger blue catfish. Anglers had also expressed concern about the decline in the numbers of large blue catfish. Due to high fishing pressure and angler harvest, the numbers of larger blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks had steadily declined since the mid-1990s. These conditions were preventing blue catfish from reaching their full growth potential. In the Department's 2002 Statewide Catfish Angler Survey, nearly thirty-five percent (35%) of respondents indicated the quality of catfishing at Truman Reservoir had declined over the last ten (10) years, while about twelve percent (12%) indicated catfishing had improved. Department staff had also documented very high harvest and slow growth of blue catfish at Truman Reservoir during our Reservoir Catfish Evaluation Project from 2004 to 2008. Research showed a blue catfish harvest rate two-to-three (2-3)-times higher than reported in similar studies nationwide. Research shows that it takes a blue catfish In Truman and Lake of the Ozarks about fifteen (15) years to reach thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") today can easily live another ten to fifteen (10-15) years and reach sixty (60) or eighty (80) pounds. For that to happen, however, we have to make sure that anglers were harvesting too comany blue catfish before the pareched their growth potential. As a top level predator, blue catfish can and do feed on a wide variety of aquatic organisms from zebra mussels to smaller blue catfish. As a rule, like any predatory game fish, blue catfish will feed on the prey that are the most abundant and easily caught. In Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, that is gizzard shad. In May 2010, the Department held stakeholder meetings to discuss potential regulation changes. Those in attendan			

	Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015								
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
				Oppose					
7/24/15	Lyle Stokes	Buffalo	3 CSR 10- 6.510: Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish	10	Not only are the new regs on Lake Ozark and Truman the best thing that has happened for catfish in years, it should be put into effect right now on all Missouri water.	The Department of Conservation appreciates citizen support for regulations to better manage the fish, forest and wildlife of Missouri.			

Periodic Review	Comments
July 1 - August	31. 2015

	July 1 - August 31, 2015							
Date	Name City	ty I	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response		
7/28/15	Burkemper (zip	p= 6 Fallon) (I	3 CSR 10- 6.510: Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish	Oppose Oppose	opportunity to enjoy the outdoor experience. Please rescind this unneeded law.	For a number of years, Department of Conservation staff had been concerned about potential overharvest of blue catfish in Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, especially larger blue catfish. Anglers had also expressed concern about the decline in the numbers of large blue catfish. Due to high fishing pressure and angler harvest, the numbers of larger blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks had steadily declined since the mid-1990s. These conditions were preventing blue catfish from reaching their full growth potential. In the Department's 2002 Statewide Catfish Angler Survey, nearly thirty-five percent (35%) of respondents indicated the quality of catfishing at Truman Reservoir had declined over the last ten (10) years, while about twelve percent (123%) indicated catfishing had improved. Department staff had also documented very high harvest and slow growth of blue catfish at Truman Reservoir during our Reservoir Catfish Evaluation Project from 2004 to 2008. Research showed a blue catfish harvest rate two-to-three (2-3)-times higher than reported in similar studies nationwide. Research shows that it takes a blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks about fifteen (15) years to reach thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") today can easily live another ten to fifteen (10-15) years and reach sixty (60) or eighty (80) pounds. For that to happen, however, we have to make sure that anglers don't harvest them all. Data indicated that anglers were harvesting too many blue catfish before they reached their growth potential. As a top level predator, blue catfish can and do feed on a wide variety of aquatic organisms from zebra mussels to smaller blue catfish. As a rule, like any predatory game fish, blue catfish will feed on the prey that are the most abundant and easily caught. In Tru		

	Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015								
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/ Oppose	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
7/29/15	Kirk Lee	Kidder	3 CSR 10- 7.433: Deer: Firearms Hunting Seasons		air guns from 40 caliber and larger to 357 caliber and larger.	The original proposal of the Department of Conservation's Regulations Committee included the 357 caliber airgun for hunting of deer. However, internal discussions and public input showed that there was concerns that the 357 caliber would not create a large enough hole to quickly and humanely kill a deer via blood loss. Thus, the Department decided that the larger 40 caliber air rifle was needed and the minimum sized caliber that was acceptable for this.			

	Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015								
D. I.	The same	Cit	lp. t-	C	The state of the s	MO Department of Conservation Response			
Date	Name	City	Rule		Comment	ivio Department of Conservation Response			
				Oppose					
7/31/15	Jeff Jamieson	Germantown,		Oppose	I oppose this rule on non resident permits	The Department of Conservation routinely hears from Missouri residents who believe that			
	E*	TN	5.220:		for non resident MO landowners with	nonresident permit prices are too low. Following a comprehensive review of permit pricing,			
			Resident and	* 4	primary resident in another state. I think	nonresident permit fees were increased across the board in 2009 and reduced-cost			
			Nonresident	27.7	this should be overturned and offer true	nonresident landowner permits were eliminated. The logic for elimination of those permits			
			Permits		MO non resident landowners that pay taxes	was that nonresident landowners do not contribute to conservation on a daily basis the way			
	200 at 5	64.	2	a	in the state of MO with at least 75	resident landowners do. Since that time, the Department has been contacted by several			
	e e				continuous acres a benefit of getting	nonresident landowners requesting that the reduced-cost permits be re-established. As a			
		9			resident permits of at least a discounted	result, the Regulations Committee considered the topic in July 2015. Following discussion,			
		'			permits for non resident landowners. I	they agreed that additional research and evaluation is necessary prior to formal consideration			
(6)	2		2			8 92			
			i an	70	grandfather and grew up hunting	of reimplementation of those permit options.			
			9		deer/turkey on it. Now the land that was left to me I have to pay full non resident				
		9	P)		permit fees. We pay taxes just like				
					everyone else in MO on the land and do not				
g1		2 H D C		15	get any permit benefits. It would be nice to				
(Exc	*				get a discounted permit fee for a non				
	6	*			resident landowner so we can have a	la di			
	140	* * *			vested interest in the conservation of MO.				
					It is tough to teach my kids to hunt when				
					my non resident permit fees are too				
		R E	120		expensive to afford. I hope this does not				
					fall on deaf ears. This has been a uphill				
	0				battle for some time since you all				
					suspended the non resident landowner				
×		8			permits at a discounted rate.				
			, ,			·			
		e 8							
			2						

	Periodic Review Comments								
					July 1 - August 31, 2	015			
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
				Oppose					
8/17/15	Brian Cogbille	Olathe, KS	3 CSR 10- 5.551: Nonresident Firearms Any- Deer Hunting Permit	Undecided	I understand charging non-residents more than residents. However, Missouri charges non-residents higher fees related to resident fees than any of state that borders Missouri. While other states charge non-residents higher fees, the ratio of non-resident to resident fees is much less than the 12.8 of MO. While lowering fees to non-residents is not likely, it seems to me that as a non-resident, I am shouldering a disproportionate share of the costs, especially in a state with a significant portion of its conservation revenue provided by sales tax.	The Department of Conservation routinely hears from many Missouri residents who believe that nonresident permit prices are too low. Following a comprehensive review of permit pricing, nonresident permit fees were increased across the board in 2009 and reduced-cost nonresident landowner permits were eliminated. The logic for elimination of those permits was that nonresident landowners do not contribute to conservation on a daily basis the way resident landowners do. Since that time, the Department has been contacted by several nonresident landowners requesting that the reduced-cost permits be re-established. As a result, the Regulations Committee considered the topic in July 2015. Following discussion, they agreed that additional research and evaluation is necessary prior to formal consideration of reimplementation of those permit options.			
8/17/15	Brian Cogbille	Olathe, KS	3 CSR 10-	Oppose	What is the rationale for no longer	The Department of Conservation routinely hears from many Missouri residents who believe			
		3.	5.551:	The second secon	recognizing non-resident landowners for	that nonresident permit prices are too low. Following a comprehensive review of permit			
£		21	Nonresident		permitting purposes? I would support a	pricing, nonresident permit fees were increased across the board in 2009 and reduced-cost			
		. 1	Firearms Any- Deer Hunting	y.	reduced fee structure for non-resident landowners.	nonresident landowner permits were eliminated. The logic for elimination of those permits was that nonresident landowners do not contribute to conservation on a daily basis the way			
			Permit	¥ ¥	,	resident landowners do. Since that time, the Department has been contacted by several			
						nonresident landowners requesting that the reduced-cost permits be re-established. As a			
			, 3			result, the Regulations Committee considered the topic in July 2015. Following discussion,			
¥			*	н		they agreed that additional research and evaluation is necessary prior to formal consideration			
						of reimplementation of those permit options.			

	Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015								
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/ Oppose	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
8/26/15	Linda M. Everhart	8% 4	3 CSR 10- 1.010: Organization and Methods of Operation		Commissioners should be elected by the people they are ruling, from at least 8 districts across Missouri. More would be better. It is unconstitutional for laws to be made by these 4 unelected, misinformed people who don't even try to educate themselves on the regulations they pass.	In 1936, the people of Missouri, through the initiative petition process, placed on the ballot and passed language that would create a non-political Conservation Commission with broad authority. Article IV: Sections 40-42 of the Constitution of the State of Missouri outlines the duties and privileges of the Conservation Commission. Section 40(a) specifies that the Commission shall consist of four (4) members appointed by the Governor, by and with the advice and consent of the senate, not more than two (2) of whom shall be of the same political party.			

Periodic Revie	w Comments
July 1 - Augu	ıst 31, 2015

	July 1 - August 31, 2015							
Date	ne City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
			Oppose					
8/26/15	da M. Henry County rhart	3 CSR 10- 6.510: Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish	Oppose		For a number of years, Department of Conservation staff had been concerned about potential overharvest of blue catfish in Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, especially larger blue catfish. Anglers had also expressed concern about the decline in the numbers of large blue catfish. Due to high fishing pressure and angler harvest, the numbers of larger blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks had steadily declined since the mid-1990s. These conditions were preventing blue catfish from reaching their full growth potential. In the Department's 2002 Statewide Catfish Angler Survey, nearly thirty-five percent (35%) of respondents indicated the quality of catfishing at Truman Reservoir had declined over the last ten (10) years, while about twelve percent (12%) indicated catfishing had improved. Department staff had also documented very high harvest and slow growth of blue catfish at Truman Reservoir during our Reservoir Catfish Evaluation Project from 2004 to 2008. Research showed a blue catfish narvest rate two-to-three (2-3)-times higher than reported in similar studies nationwide. Research shows that it takes a blue catfish in Truman and take of the Ozarks about fifteen (15) years to reach thirty-one inches (31") today can easily live another ten to fifteen (10-15) years and reach sixty (60) or eighty (80) pounds. For that to happen, however, we have to make sure that anglers don't harvest them all. Data indicated that anglers were harvesting too many blue catfish before they reached their growth potential. As a top level predator, blue catfish can and do feed on a wide variety of aquatic organisms from zebra mussels to smaller blue catfish. As a rule, like any predatory game fish, blue catfish will feed on the prey that are the most abundant and easily caught. In Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, that is gizzard shad. In May 2010, the Department held stakeholder meetings to discuss potential regulation changes. Those in attendance included recreational and tournament anglers, catfishing guides, org			

	Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015								
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment July 1 - August 51, 2	MO Department of Conservation Response			
				Oppose					
8/26/15	Ryan Moss	Sikeston	3 CSR 10- 7.431: Deer Hunting Seasons: General Provisions	Oppose	By making the limit of bucks go down for your yearly limit you will drive down the revenue. Not only for the state but the farmers that lease there land as well for hunting parties. The revenue from the permits. As well as you wont have as many people travel to get one buck and then not able to get one in rifle season. That is what they want to hunt is that trophy and now you are wanting to take away from that. I think it s a horrible idea and will drive the revenue and result in lay offs for department of conservation and as well as less revenue to thrive the population.	The Department of Conservation considered numerous options for the 2016 deer season. One (1) option proposed was to limit deer hunters to one(1) buck only, regardless of how it was harvested (archery, firearm, alternative method, etc). However, based on public comments received, the Department decided for the 2016 season to allow hunters to be able to harvest two (2) bucks during archery and firearm seasons combined.			
	Shannon Henson	Exeter	3 CSR 10- 7.431: Deer Hunting Seasons: General Provisions	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	I strongly disagree with the proposed change on the shorting of the 2016-2017 firearms season. As I see it the season is to short to begin with, and this makes it even worse when you have a job and family to take care of.	The proposed shortening of the November portion of the firearms deer season was not approved by Conservation Commission. The eleven (11) day structure that has been the traditional length of the November firearm season will remain unchanged for the 2016 season based on public comment received.			
8/27/15	Joshua Smith	unknown (zip= Independence)	3 CSR 10- 7.440: Migratory Game Birds and Waterfowl: Seasons, Limits		Everything seems to be good except the shooting hours. I feel the shooting hours should be extended to half hour after sunset just like any other season. It seems majority of the birds I've watched don't start flying off evening fields till sunset. Which does not give hunters a chance on evening hunts unless the shooting hours are extended.	Daily hunting hours for migratory birds are set by the US Fish and Wildlife Service. Given that hunting hours are federally regulated, the Department of Conservation has no control over when a hunter begins or ends their hunting day.			

	Periodic Review Comments July 1 - August 31, 2015								
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/ Oppose	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response			
8/28/15	Wes Johnston		3 CSR 10- 7.455: Turkeys: Seasons, Methods, Limits		I do agree with the seasons, methods and limits. I undertand why there is a limit of one bearded turkey in the first week of the spring season. What I would like to see is a way to let a hunter harvest two birds the same day on the 2nd and 3rd week. Maybe have a bonus a hunter could pay extra for at time of purchase or a drawing for the option to harvest two birds. thanks	day, and thus, improves the quality of the hunt for all hunters.			

Periodic Review Commen	ts
July 1 - August 31, 2015	

	July 1 - August 31, 2015							
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response		
				Oppose				
8/30/15	Kurt Brashear	unknown (incomplete zip code)	3 CSR 10- 6.510: Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish		I oppose the Blue Catfish slot rules based upon the following: 1. The sample methods were not typical of normal cat fishing methods. 2. No one person from the department went with or sought the advice of a catfishing guide on what size fish they were catching. Fish biologist are not FISHING experts but are fish experts. 3. If the idea is to remove the smaller fish to allow the bigger fish to get bigger, why not allow anglers to keep crappie below 9 inches, this would allow crappie to get bigger. 4. Other states have a SLOT limit but allow anglers to keep 2 blue catfish above a certain size. I took my grand kids fishing today and although we caught fish, we had to release all 9 keepers because they were in the slot size 26 to 34 inches. We did catch 2 small ones about 18 inches that were to small to keep, no meat.5. Why not allow anglers to keep 2 fish over 26 inches? at least we could have brought a few fish home today. Grandchildren would have enjoyed that, other states do it why not Missouri? I encourage you to go blue cat fishing or contact guides on Truman lake to get their input. Would you change the highway system because engineers said it was a good idea without consulting Truckers?	For a number of years, Department of Conservation staff had been concerned about potential overharvest of blue catfish in Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, especially larger blue catfish. Anglers had also expressed concern about the decline in the numbers of large blue catfish. Due to high fishing pressure and angler harvest, the numbers of larger blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks had steadily declined since the mid-1990s. These conditions were preventing blue catfish from reaching their full growth potential. In the Department's 2002 Statewide Catfish Angler Survey, nearly thirty-five percent (35%) of respondents indicated the quality of catfishing at Truman Reservoir had declined over the last ten (10) years, while about twelve percent (12%) indicated catfishing had improved. Department staff had also documented very high harvest and slow growth of blue catfish harvest rate two-to-three (2-3)-times higher than reported in similar studies nationwide. Research shows that it takes a blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks about fifteen (15) years to reach thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) years and reach sixty (60) or eighty (80) pounds. For that to happen, however, we have to make sure that anglers don't harvest them all. Data indicated that anglers were harvesting too many blue catfish before they reached their growth potential. As a top level predator, blue catfish can and do feed on a wide variety of aquatic organisms from zebra mussels to smaller blue catfish. A part length is the part of the proper data of the proper data of the proper data of the proper data of the pro		

	Periodic Review Comments									
	July 1 - August 31, 2015									
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response				
				Oppose						
8/31/15	Philip Kneibert	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	3 CSR 10- 5.551: Nonresident Firearms Any- Deer Hunting Permit		pay taxes and/or own property in Missouri. Why do we pay the same amount as those	The Department of Conservation routinely hears from many Missouri residents who believe that nonresident permit prices are too low. Following a comprehensive review of permit pricing, nonresident permit fees were increased across the board in 2009 and reduced-cost nonresident landowner permits were eliminated. The logic for elimination of those permits was that nonresident landowners do not contribute to conservation on a daily basis the way resident landowners do. Since that time, the Department has been contacted by several nonresident landowners requesting that the reduced-cost permits be re-established. As a result, the Regulations Committee considered the topic in July 2015. Following discussion, they agreed that additional research and evaluation is necessary prior to formal consideration of reimplementation of those permit options.				

Periodic Review	Comments
July 1 - August	31, 2015

	July 1 - August 31, 2015							
Date	Name	City	Rule	Support/	Comment	MO Department of Conservation Response		
				Oppose				
8/31/15	Johnny "Dr. Duck" Everhart	Blairstown	3 CSR 10-6.510: Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish		Truman is a JOKE! The mdc's conclusion was a BIG JOKE! The Slot Sized Blue Cat Catfish is the most abundant and the most desired fish for table fair. MDC based much of this decision from a 2001 study and questionnaire. In this research MDC stated most anglers said quality of cat fishing had declined? In their own report showed that 35% said declined and 39% polled said it was as good or better? MDC wanting BC fish to reach 18 to 20 years old before you can keep them past the slot. The MDC messed up on this one! While MDC wants us to catch and release Blue Catfish other states like Maryland has learned their lesson and the blue catfish is on the INVASIVE list to keep or throw on the bank. This species will clean a lake out of other game fish. The whole thing was based on Junk Science and not enough opinion from the guides and anglers. MDC Biologists who ran this project may of been book smart but were not experience anglers. They decided before the public input meeting that they had a "phone and a pen" and they were going to dump this on us no matter what we thought. If you want to hear what I really think? call me Johnny Everhart at 660.885.5049	For a number of years, Department of Conservation staff had been concerned about potential overharvest of blue catfish in Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, especially larger blue catfish. Anglers had also expressed concern about the decline in the numbers of larger blue catfish. Due to high fishing pressure and angler harvest, the numbers of larger blue catfish from reaching their full growth potential. In the Department's 2002 Statewide Catfish Angler Survey, nearly thirty-five percent (15%) of respondents indicated the quality of catfishing at Truman Reservoir Had declined over the last ten (10) years, while about twelve percent (12%) indicated catfishing had improved. Department staff had also documented very high harvest and slow growth of blue catfish at Truman Reservoir during our Reservoir Catfish Evaluation Project from 2004 to 2008. Research shows that it takes a blue catfish in Truman and Lake of the Ozarks about fifteen (15) years to reach thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") in length and a weight of about twelve (12) pounds. A fifteen (15) year old blue catfish that is thirty-one inches (31") today can easily live another ten to fifteen (10-15) years and reach sixty (60) or eighty (80) pounds. For that to happen, however, we have make sure that anglers don't harvest them all. Data indicated that anglers were harvesting too many blue catfish before they reached their growth potential. As a top level predator, blue catfish can and do feed on a wide variety of aquatic organisms from zebra mussels to smaller blue catfish. As a rule, like any predatory game fish, blue catfish will feed on the prey that are the most abundant and easily caught. In Truman Reservoir and Lake of the Ozarks, that is gizzard shad. In May 2010, the Department held stakeholder meetings to discuss potential regulation changes. Those in attendance included recreational and tournament anglers, catfishing guides, organi		